

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO  | I                     | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/068,004  | 10/068,004 02/08/2002 |             | Woo Young So         | 1514.1010               | 6442             |
| 21171   | 7590                  | 05/19/2004  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| STAAS &   |                       | Y LLP       | SEFER, AHMED N       |                         |                  |
| SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20005 |                       |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                       |             |                      | 2826                    |                  |
|   |                       |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/068,004  | SO ET AL.  |   |  |  |  |
| navicory nation  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |
|  | A. Sefer  | 2826   |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION oid abandonment of this application in a street of the same of | NATIONAL LOVA AND SOLEVANO SOL | CE.<br>y to a<br><b>HINER</b> n<br><b>Co</b> ntinued                |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | <u>EPLY</u> [check either a) or b)]   |  |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail   | g date of the final rejecti<br>HE FINAL REJECTION.<br>R 1.136(a) and the appr<br>unt of the fee. The appr<br>originally set in the final   | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | tion(s):  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed  | amendment   |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:   |   | dered but does NO  | T place the   |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY to   | o issues which were  | e newly   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  | •   |  | and an  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-16 and 22-25</u> .  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app   | roved or b) disapproved by the  | he Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen   | nt(s)( PTO-1449)  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |   |  |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Limitation(s) added to claims 12 and 22 change the scope of the claims. These limitations have not been considered previously. A new search and consideration is required.